THE ONON OF HIGHER EDUCATION FINANCE

THE POLITICS OF TUITION FEES AND SUBSIDIES IN OECD COUNTRIES, 1945-2015

JULIAN L. GARRITZMANN



The Political Economy of Higher Education Finance

The Political Economy of Higher Education Finance

The Politics of Tuition Fees and Subsidies in OECD Countries, 1945–2015



Julian L. Garritzmann University of Konstanz Department of Politics & Public Administration Konstanz, Germany

ISBN 978-3-319-29912-9 ISBN 978-3-319-29913-6 (eBook) DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-29913-6

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016948423

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use. The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by Springer Nature The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Many people have helped me to start and finish this book. First and foremost, I am deeply indebted to Marius Busemeyer. Since we met at the Max Planck Institute in Cologne, Marius has supported me in many respects: he awakened my interest in education policy and the welfare state; he commented on numerous versions of my papers; and he offered me convenient research positions with long time horizons (very unusual nowadays) and generous funding (even more unusual), meaning that throughout my time as a graduate student I had the means to attend conferences and workshops and was able to afford a research stay at Harvard University. Moreover, Marius created a highly productive work environment at the University of Konstanz; he kept my teaching load low and hardly ever bothered me with organizational matters so that I could focus on my own research. Maybe most importantly, Marius always pushed me further with critical comments and tough deadlines, but also left me a lot of academic freedom and accepted that I often had to find my own way, sometimes disregarding good advice (of course, often regretting this later). In short, Marius was the ideal supervisor and, moreover, has become a good friend.

Christian Breunig has been the perfect second supervisor: always available when I needed advice, but never trying to push me in a direction in which I didn't want to head; always very clear in his critique and concentrating on the big, critical questions. Christian not only provided substantive advice but also pushed me to bring this book into a (hopefully) more easily accessible and shorter format, dropping many of the potentially interesting, but largely unnecessary, meanderings of the book.

I am also enormously thankful to Torben Iversen. I met Torben during two workshops in Bremen and Konstanz and was very impressed by his analytical precision and style of thinking about politics. Right from the start he took a lot of time to have discussions with me and never treated me as the little graduate student that I was. I am very grateful that Torben invited me to Harvard, where he paved my way, connecting me to other exciting scholars. Moreover, Torben agreed to serve as a supervisor for my dissertation and took the time to comment extensively on single papers and on the final manuscript. His view on my work—though I did not always follow it—has helped me to sharpen my analytical focus and simplify the argument as much as possible.

Finally, I thank Dirk Leuffen, who agreed—on short notice—to chair my dissertation defense committee, and I am grateful that he not only took the time to read through my lengthy dissertation but also to comment on it from an "outside angle," which helped me to focus more on the main story.

Moreover, I wish to thank our entire team at the University of Konstanz: Aurélien Abrassart, Yvonne Aymar, Margot Beier, Michael Dobbins, Ulrich Glassmann, Susanne Haastert, Susanne Münn, Erik Neimanns, Roula Nezi, Raphaela Schlicht-Schmälzle, and Janis Vossiek. I have always enjoyed the critical but constructive discussions in our group. I am also grateful for all of the support from our student assistants who saved me a great deal of time by doing a lot of—sometimes annoying, but necessary—work: Dana Behrens, Sophie Fendrich, Maximilian Gahntz, Caspar Kolster, Tobias Tober, Léonie Trick, Marie Zeller, and, most of all, Lina Seitzl, who has been a great support during almost my entire time at the University of Konstanz. I also wish to thank Kilian Seng, Peter Selb, and Susumu Shikano for their statistical advice.

During my time as a Visiting Fellow at Harvard, I experienced an enormously inspiring, creative, and energizing environment. Besides Torben Iversen, a number of colleagues commented on my work and stimulated my thinking in various ways: Daniel Ziblatt, Dan Smith, and Gwyneth McClendon let me participate in and present at the Research Workshop in Comparative Politics, and had helpful comments. Moreover, I am very thankful to Peter Hall and Kathy Thelen, who took time to discuss my ideas with me. Furthermore, Jon Fiva, Jeff Frieden, Daniel Koss, John Marshall, Arthur Spirling, and Carina Schmitt commented on several papers. Finally, many thanks to Dominik Geering and Olaf van Vliet. During our joint lunches almost every day I not only received a lot of

feedback, but also made two great friends that I unfortunately see much too seldom.

At the University of Cologne, where I graduated in 2011, I particularly wish to thank André Kaiser, who got me interested in comparative politics in general and in parties and party competition in particular. During my time as a student assistant at his chair, he fundamentally shaped my perspective on political science and inspired me to think about the importance of time in this field. I appreciate that I always find the door open (and often a free desk at which I can do some work) when I come "back home."

Moreover, many people have commented on parts of the book at various stages: Sakari Ahola, Ben Ansell, Michael Braun, Margarita Gelepithis, Silja Häusermann, Anne-Marie Jeannet, Carsten Jensen, Jens Jungblut, Olli Kangas, Peter Maassen, Paul Marx, Traute Meyer, Stefan Thewissen, Pieter Vanhuysse, Wim van Oorschot, Peter Selb, Daniel Stegmüller, Christine Trampusch, Martina Vukasovic, and Claus Wendt. Colleagues have also commented on the paper at various occasions such as at the CES Conferences in Amsterdam and Paris; the APSA Annual Meeting in Washington; the MPSA Conference in Chicago; the ESPAnet Meetings in Mannheim, Odense, and Oslo; Harvard's various research workshops; the ECPR General Conference in Montréal; the HEIK seminar at the University of Oslo; the Cologne Center for Comparative Politics; and various occasions at the University of Konstanz. Still, I'm pretty certain I have forgotten to mention someone, so I'm certain I owe drinks to some unmentioned but well-deserving friends, here's a place where you can fill in your name while patting yourself on the back: (the next beer is on me!).

Furthermore, I appreciate the generous funding I have received from several bodies, particularly from the German Research Foundation's Emmy Noether Programme, the University of Konstanz's Graduate School of Decision Sciences, and the German Excellence Initiative, which helped finance my stay at Harvard University.

Finally, those who know me at least a little, know that I'm a big fan of Aristotle and Hannah Arendt. And those who know Aristotle or Hannah Arendt a little, know about the importance of friendship to connect science with life, philosophy with political science, and society with one's personal eudaimonía. Thus, a big shout out goes to all of my friends around the world who have helped me not to think about my work. To name but a few (and again I probably have to buy some drinks), Jan Sahm has always

been a true friend (in the Aristotelian sense)—always up for doing foolish things and for talking nonsense for hours, probably the most important thing one can do in today's "knowledge economy"; moreover, I have had great scientific conversations with Leonce Röth, who always tries to challenge everything I say—but (therefore!?) at the same time has been a great friend over the years. In addition, Moritz Bassler, Matthias Klöpfer, Kilian Seng, and Jens Winkler were not only excellent musicians to play jazz with but also became close friends in Konstanz. Robi Chattopadhyay proved, among other things, that there are fewer people who know how to listen to good music than how to play it. And during the many hours of practice and trips to away games across Germany, my floorball team made sure I physically did not have the breath to concentrate on any scholarly work. Thanks, everyone!

Last, but actually first, luck had it that I met Susanne B. Haastert during the stressful wrap-up phase of finishing my dissertation. I am extremely happy and grateful that ever since I have had such a smart and critical colleague, understanding friend, and loving partner at my side!

Julian L. Garritzmann Konstanz, December 2015

Contents

1	The Politics of Higher Education Tuition Fees and Subsidies	1
2	The Four Worlds of Student Finance: A Comparative Descriptive Overview of Tuition Fees and Subsidies in 33 OECD Countries	57
3	Adding "Some Flesh to the Bones": Illustrative Case Studies of Four Diverse Cases Over Seven Decades	99
4	What Do Parties Want? Parties' Positions and Issue Emphasis on Tuition Fees and Subsidies	209
5	Testing the Time-Sensitive Partisan Theory in Large-n Analyses: Parties' Impact on the Tuition-Subsidy Regimes of 21 Democracies Over Time	237
6	Individual-Level Attitudes Towards Subsidies: How Positive Feedback-Effects Prevent (Radical) Change in the Four Worlds of Student Finance	267

xii CONTENTS

7 Conclusion and Outlook	301
Index	315

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	The Four Worlds of Student Finance	3
Fig. 1.2	Schematic depiction of the different paths of the origins	
	of the Four Worlds of Student Finance	27
Fig. 1.3	Schematic summary of the feedback-argument	34
Fig. 2.1	Proportion of students paying tuition fees in 1997 and 2010	61
Fig. 2.2	Average tuition fees in public type-A higher education	
	institutions, 2003–2009	65
Fig. 2.3	Household expenditure on higher education institutions,	
	by country (1995–2010)	67
Fig. 2.4	Public subsidy spending as a share of total public tertiary	
	education spending and as a share of GDP, 2008	70
Fig. 2.5	Public subsidy spending in low-subsidy (upper panel) and	
	high-subsidy (lower panel) regimes, by country	
	(1995–2010)	71
Fig. 2.6	Share of students receiving subsidies and level of public	
	expenditure on subsidies (2008)	75
Fig. 2.7	Proportion of students receiving different kinds of subsidies	76
Fig. 2.8	The Four Worlds of Student Finance	78
Fig. 2.9	Cluster analysis including a parsimonious selection of	
	variables (dendrogram)	83
Fig. 2.10	Cluster analysis including all relevant variables (dendrogram)	85
Fig. 2.11	Cluster analysis including only significantly discriminating	
	variables (dendrogram)	86
Fig. 3.1	Left parties' cabinet seat shares in Finland (1945–2010)	107
Fig. 3.2	Finnish students' incomes by type of revenue (1964–1994)	112
Fig. 3.3	Enrollment levels in Germany, Japan, and the USA	
	(1850-1992)	118

xiv LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 3.4	Higher education enrollment levels in Japan (1970–2010)	124
Fig. 3.5	Proportion of all students receiving BAföG (1972–2012)	149
Fig. 3.6	Different kinds of subsidies received depending on parental	
	background (1970–1990)	153
Fig. 3.7	Number of Pell Grant recipients and level of expenditure	
	on Pell Grants (1973–2004)	176
Fig. 3.8	US respondents' attitudes on the nation's current level of	
	education spending (1973–2006)	179
Fig. 3.9	Tuition as a share of public and private HEIs' total revenues	
	(1940–2000)	180
Fig. 4.1	Parties' positions and issue emphasis on higher education	
	finance across 14 democracies in 2008	214
Fig. 4.2	Parties' positions on higher education finance by	
	party family	216
Fig. 4.3	Liberal parties' positions on higher education finance	218
Fig. 4.4	Parties' issue emphasis on higher education finance by	
	party family	225
Fig. 4.5	Mainstream versus other parties' positions and emphasis	
	on higher education finance	226
Fig. 4.6	Summary of the findings on mainstream parties'	
	positions and emphasis on higher education policies	232
Fig. 5.1	Current public subsidy spending over left-wing parties'	
	cabinet seat shares (1945–1965)	240
Fig. 5.2	Countries' current private household higher education	
	spending over conservative and non-Christian center	
	parties' cabinet seat shares (1945–1965)	241
Fig. 5.3	Current public subsidy spending over left-wing parties'	
	cabinet seat shares (1966–1985)	242
Fig. 5.4	Current public subsidy spending over left-wing parties'	
	cabinet seat shares (1986–2005)	243
Fig. 5.5	Current private household higher education spending	
	over right-wing parties' cabinet seat shares (1966–1985)	244
Fig. 5.6	Current private household higher education spending	
	over right-wing parties' cabinet seat shares (1986–2005)	245
Fig. 6.1	Attitudes towards subsidies over time	280
Fig. 6.2	Attitudes towards subsidies by country	281